### Fourier transforms of measures supported on graphs

#### Jonathan M. Fraser

joint work with Tuomas Orponen and Tuomas Sahlsten





HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO HELSINGFORS UNIVERSITET UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI



# My coauthors



# My coauthors



Let  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be Borel and  $\mathcal{P}(K)$  be the set of all Borel probability measures on K.

Let  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be Borel and  $\mathcal{P}(K)$  be the set of all Borel probability measures on K.

$$\dim_{\mathrm{H}} K = \sup\left\{s \leq 2 : \exists \mu \in \mathcal{P}(K), I_{s}(\mu) := \iint \frac{d\mu(x)d\mu(y)}{|x-y|^{s}} < \infty\right\}$$

Let  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be Borel and  $\mathcal{P}(K)$  be the set of all Borel probability measures on K.

$$\dim_{\mathrm{H}} K = \sup\left\{s \le 2 : \exists \mu \in \mathcal{P}(K), I_{s}(\mu) := \iint \frac{d\mu(x)d\mu(y)}{|x-y|^{s}} < \infty\right\}$$

• The Fourier transform of  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(K)$  is  $\widehat{\mu} : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$ 

$$\widehat{\mu}(\xi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-2\pi i x \cdot \xi} d\mu(x) \qquad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^2$$

Let  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be Borel and  $\mathcal{P}(K)$  be the set of all Borel probability measures on K.

$$\dim_{\mathrm{H}} K = \sup\left\{s \leq 2 : \exists \mu \in \mathcal{P}(K), I_{s}(\mu) := \iint \frac{d\mu(x)d\mu(y)}{|x-y|^{s}} < \infty\right\}$$

• The Fourier transform of  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(K)$  is  $\widehat{\mu} : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$ 

$$\widehat{\mu}(\xi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-2\pi i x \cdot \xi} d\mu(x) \qquad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^2$$

• Alternative formula for the *s*-energy:

$$I_s(\mu) = c \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\widehat{\mu}(\xi)|^2 |\xi|^{s-2} d\xi$$

Let  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be Borel and  $\mathcal{P}(K)$  be the set of all Borel probability measures on K.

$$\dim_{\mathrm{H}} K = \sup\left\{s \leq 2 : \exists \mu \in \mathcal{P}(K), I_{s}(\mu) := \iint \frac{d\mu(x)d\mu(y)}{|x-y|^{s}} < \infty\right\}$$

• The Fourier transform of  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(K)$  is  $\widehat{\mu} : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$ 

$$\widehat{\mu}(\xi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-2\pi i x \cdot \xi} d\mu(x) \qquad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^2$$

• Alternative formula for the *s*-energy:

$$I_s(\mu) = c \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\widehat{\mu}(\xi)|^2 |\xi|^{s-2} d\xi$$

...so if for  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have

$$|\widehat{\mu}(\xi)| \lesssim |\xi|^{-(s+\varepsilon)/2}, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^2$$

Let  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be Borel and  $\mathcal{P}(K)$  be the set of all Borel probability measures on K.

$$\dim_{\mathrm{H}} K = \sup\left\{s \leq 2 : \exists \mu \in \mathcal{P}(K), I_{s}(\mu) := \iint \frac{d\mu(x)d\mu(y)}{|x-y|^{s}} < \infty\right\}$$

• The Fourier transform of  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(K)$  is  $\widehat{\mu}: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$ 

$$\widehat{\mu}(\xi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-2\pi i x \cdot \xi} d\mu(x) \qquad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^2$$

• Alternative formula for the *s*-energy:

$$I_s(\mu) = c \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\widehat{\mu}(\xi)|^2 |\xi|^{s-2} d\xi$$

...so if for  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have

$$|\widehat{\mu}(\xi)| \lesssim |\xi|^{-(s+\varepsilon)/2}, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^2 \implies I_s(\mu) < \infty$$

Let  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be Borel and  $\mathcal{P}(K)$  be the set of all Borel probability measures on K.

$$\dim_{\mathrm{H}} K = \sup\left\{s \leq 2 : \exists \mu \in \mathcal{P}(K), I_{s}(\mu) := \iint \frac{d\mu(x)d\mu(y)}{|x-y|^{s}} < \infty\right\}$$

• The Fourier transform of  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(K)$  is  $\widehat{\mu} : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$ 

$$\widehat{\mu}(\xi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-2\pi i x \cdot \xi} d\mu(x) \qquad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^2$$

• Alternative formula for the *s*-energy:

$$I_{s}(\mu) = c \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} |\widehat{\mu}(\xi)|^{2} |\xi|^{s-2} d\xi$$

...so if for  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have

$$|\widehat{\mu}(\xi)| \lesssim |\xi|^{-(s+\varepsilon)/2}, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^2 \implies I_s(\mu) < \infty \implies \dim_{\mathrm{H}} K \ge s.$$

This motivates...

Definition (Fourier dimension)

 $\dim_{\mathbf{F}} K := \sup\{s \le 2 : \exists \, \mu \in \mathcal{P}(K) \text{ with } |\widehat{\mu}(\xi)| \lesssim |\xi|^{-s/2}, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^2\}$ 

This motivates...

Definition (Fourier dimension)

 $\dim_{\mathbf{F}} K := \sup\{s \le 2 : \exists \, \mu \in \mathcal{P}(K) \text{ with } |\widehat{\mu}(\xi)| \lesssim |\xi|^{-s/2}, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^2\}$ 

...and shows that  $\dim_{\mathrm{F}} K \leq \dim_{\mathrm{H}} K$ .

This motivates...

Definition (Fourier dimension)

 $\dim_{\mathbf{F}} K := \sup\{s \le 2 : \exists \, \mu \in \mathcal{P}(K) \text{ with } |\widehat{\mu}(\xi)| \lesssim |\xi|^{-s/2}, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^2\}$ 

...and shows that  $\dim_{\mathrm{F}} K \leq \dim_{\mathrm{H}} K$ .

Definition (Round sets)

If  $\dim_{\mathrm{F}} K = \dim_{\mathrm{H}} K$ , we say that K is round.

• Round sets are also known as **Salem sets**.

Unit circle  $S^1$  is round...



A line  $L \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is **not** round...

A line  $L \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is **not** round...



$$\begin{array}{ll} \dots \text{since } \widehat{\mu} \equiv 1 \text{ on } L^{\perp} \text{ for any } \mu \in \mathcal{P}(L)! \\ \implies & \dim_{\mathrm{F}} L = 0 < 1 = \dim_{\mathrm{H}} L. \end{array}$$

A line  $L \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is **not** round...



...since 
$$\hat{\mu} \equiv 1$$
 on  $L^{\perp}$  for any  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(L)$ !  
 $\implies \dim_{\mathrm{F}} L = 0 < 1 = \dim_{\mathrm{H}} L.$ 

• <u>Punchline</u>:  $\dim_{\rm H}$  measures size, but  $\dim_{\rm F}$  also contains information on curvature.

A line  $L \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is **not** round...



...since 
$$\widehat{\mu} \equiv 1$$
 on  $L^{\perp}$  for any  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(L)$ !  
 $\implies \dim_{\mathrm{F}} L = 0 < 1 = \dim_{\mathrm{H}} L.$ 

• <u>Punchline</u>:  $\dim_H$  measures size, but  $\dim_F$  also contains information on curvature.

'Non-trivial' round sets are hard to construct deterministically,

A line  $L \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is **not** round...



...since 
$$\widehat{\mu} \equiv 1$$
 on  $L^{\perp}$  for any  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(L)$ !  
 $\implies \dim_{\mathrm{F}} L = 0 < 1 = \dim_{\mathrm{H}} L$ .

• <u>Punchline</u>:  $\dim_{\rm H}$  measures size, but  $\dim_{\rm F}$  also contains information on curvature.

'Non-trivial' round sets are hard to construct deterministically, but

• there are some examples by Kahane and Kaufman; in particular some sets arising from Diophantine approximation are round.

There are many **random** round sets.

There are many **random** round sets. First such constructions are due to Salem, but the following key result is by Kahane.

#### Theorem (Kahane 1986)

Let  $\omega \colon [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$  be 1-dimensional Brownian motion, and let  $K \subset [0,\infty)$  be compact. Then the image  $\omega(K) \subset \mathbb{R}$  is a.s. round, with

 $\dim_{\mathbf{F}} \omega(K) = \dim_{\mathbf{H}} \omega(K) = \min\{1, 2 \dim_{\mathbf{H}} K\}.$ 

There are many **random** round sets. First such constructions are due to Salem, but the following key result is by Kahane.

#### Theorem (Kahane 1986)

Let  $\omega \colon [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$  be 1-dimensional Brownian motion, and let  $K \subset [0,\infty)$  be compact. Then the image  $\omega(K) \subset \mathbb{R}$  is a.s. round, with

$$\dim_{\mathbf{F}} \omega(K) = \dim_{\mathbf{H}} \omega(K) = \min\{1, 2 \dim_{\mathbf{H}} K\}.$$

Analogous result also holds for fractional Brownian motion.

So, the image of any compact set under a 'random function' is round.

So, the image of any compact set under a 'random function' is round.

• Maybe random functions provide more examples of round sets?

So, the image of any compact set under a 'random function' is round.

• Maybe random functions provide more examples of round sets? Kahane writes (1993):

"...proving almost sure roundedness for specific random sets is never easy and it remains an open program for most natural random sets: level sets and graphs of random functions in particular."

So, the image of any compact set under a 'random function' is round.

• Maybe random functions provide more examples of round sets? Kahane writes (1993):

"...proving almost sure roundedness for specific random sets is never easy and it remains an open program for most natural random sets: level sets and graphs of random functions in particular."

Later (2006), Shieh and Xiao explicitly ask:

"Are the graph and level sets of a stochastic process such as fractional Brownian motion Salem sets?"

The conjecture is partially confirmed for level sets:

#### Theorem (Fouché and Mukeru 2013)

Let  $\omega : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$  be 1-dimensional fractional Brownian motion. Then for  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , the level set

$$L_{\omega}(a) = \{0 \le t \le 1 : \omega(t) = a\}$$

is round with positive probability and

$$\dim_{\mathrm{F}} L_{\omega}(a) = \dim_{\mathrm{H}} L_{\omega}(a) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

### Graphs of Brownian motion

How about graphs of 1-dimensional (fractional) Brownian motion?

### Graphs of Brownian motion

How about graphs of 1-dimensional (fractional) Brownian motion?

The Hausdorff dimension part is classical:

#### Theorem (Taylor 1953, Adler 1977)

Let  $\omega : [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$  be the 1-dimensional fractional Brownian motion with Hurst exponent 0 < H < 1. Then, the graph

$$G_{\omega} := \{(t, \omega(t)) : t \in [0, \infty)\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$$

a.s. satisfies

$$\dim_{\mathrm{H}} G_{\omega} = 2 - H.$$

In particular, 
$$\dim_{\mathrm{H}} G_{\omega} > 1$$
 a.s.

Fourier dimension of graphs

We proved:

Theorem (J. F., T. Orponen and T. Sahlsten. 2013)

Let  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$  be a set, and let  $f : E \to \mathbb{R}$  be a function. Then

 $\dim_{\mathbf{F}} G_f \leq 1.$ 

Fourier dimension of graphs

We proved:

Theorem (J. F., T. Orponen and T. Sahlsten. 2013)

Let  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$  be a set, and let  $f \colon E \to \mathbb{R}$  be a function. Then

 $\dim_{\mathbf{F}} G_f \le 1.$ 

Combining this with Taylor's and Adler's results answers Kahane's, Shieh's and Xiao's questions on random graphs in the negative:

#### Corollary

The Brownian graphs  $G_{\omega}$  are a.s. **not** round/Salem.

Some theorems in **geometric measure theory** can be strengthened if hypotheses on Hausdorff dimension are replaced by those on Fourier dimension.

Some theorems in **geometric measure theory** can be strengthened if hypotheses on Hausdorff dimension are replaced by those on Fourier dimension.

 Marstrand's projection theorem: if a planar set K has Fourier dimension s ∈ [0, 1], then all projections of K onto lines have Fourier dimension ≥ s (folklore).

Some theorems in **geometric measure theory** can be strengthened if hypotheses on Hausdorff dimension are replaced by those on Fourier dimension.

- Marstrand's projection theorem: if a planar set K has Fourier dimension s ∈ [0, 1], then all projections of K onto lines have Fourier dimension ≥ s (folklore).
- Falconer's distance set conjecture: if a planar set K has Fourier dimension s > 1, then the distance set of K, namely

$$\Delta(K) = \{ |x - y| : x, y \in K \},\$$

has positive length (P. Mattila).

# Proof II

For us, the key is proving a Fourier analytic version of Marstrand's slicing theorem.

 Marstrand's slicing theorem: if a planar set K has dim<sub>F</sub> K > 1, then in every direction there are Leb positively many lines ℓ with

 $\dim_{\mathrm{H}}[K \cap \ell] > 0.$ 

# Proof II

For us, the key is proving a Fourier analytic version of Marstrand's slicing theorem.

 Marstrand's slicing theorem: if a planar set K has dim<sub>F</sub> K > 1, then in every direction there are Leb positively many lines ℓ with

 $\dim_{\mathrm{H}}[K \cap \ell] > 0.$ 

In particular, the above conclusion holds for lines in the vertical direction.

# Proof II

For us, the key is proving a Fourier analytic version of Marstrand's slicing theorem.

 Marstrand's slicing theorem: if a planar set K has dim<sub>F</sub> K > 1, then in every direction there are Leb positively many lines ℓ with

 $\dim_{\mathrm{H}}[K \cap \ell] > 0.$ 

In particular, the above conclusion holds for lines in the vertical direction.

• Graphs of arbitrary functions f clearly do not have this property:



Hence, they can have Fourier dimension at most one!

### Proof III

• To do: inspect the proof of Marstrand's slicing theorem.

# Proof III

- To do: inspect the proof of Marstrand's slicing theorem.
- **The enemy**: classical proofs do not use Fourier analysis (i.e. relation with Fourier transforms and dimension is not clear).

# Proof III

- To do: inspect the proof of Marstrand's slicing theorem.
- **The enemy**: classical proofs do not use Fourier analysis (i.e. relation with Fourier transforms and dimension is not clear).
- The solution: invent a Fourier analytic proof for Marstrand's slicing theorem.

Proof sketch:

• Assume that  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is a set with  $\dim_F K > 1$ .

Proof sketch:

- Assume that  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is a set with  $\dim_F K > 1$ .
- Choose  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(K)$  with  $|\widehat{\mu}(\xi)| \lesssim |\xi|^{-(s+\varepsilon)/2}$  for some s > 1.

Proof sketch:

- Assume that  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is a set with  $\dim_F K > 1$ .
- Choose  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(K)$  with  $|\widehat{\mu}(\xi)| \lesssim |\xi|^{-(s+\varepsilon)/2}$  for some s > 1.
- Slice the measure  $\mu$  with vertical lines  $L_t = \{(t, y) : y \in \mathbb{R}\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  to obtain 'sliced measures'  $\mu_t$ , supported on  $K \cap L_t$ .



Easy but important:  $\mu_t \neq 0$  for Leb positively many *t*. (this requires the decay assumption of  $\hat{\mu}$  and Plancherel's formula)

• Consider the (s-1)-energies

$$I_{s-1}(\mu_t) = \iint \frac{d\mu_t(x) \, d\mu_t(y)}{|x-y|^{s-1}} \dots$$

• Consider the (s-1)-energies

$$I_{s-1}(\mu_t) = \iint \frac{d\mu_t(x) \, d\mu_t(y)}{|x-y|^{s-1}} \dots$$

• ...and prove the inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} I_{s-1}(\mu_t) \, dt \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\widehat{\mu}(\xi)|^2 |\xi_2|^{s-2} \, d\xi \dots$$

• Consider the (s-1)-energies

$$I_{s-1}(\mu_t) = \iint \frac{d\mu_t(x) \, d\mu_t(y)}{|x-y|^{s-1}} \dots$$

• ...and prove the inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} I_{s-1}(\mu_t) \, dt \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\widehat{\mu}(\xi)|^2 |\xi_2|^{s-2} \, d\xi \dots$$

• ...which follows from Plancherel if  $\mu$  is a smooth function; the general case involves a tedious approximation.

• Consider the (s-1)-energies

$$I_{s-1}(\mu_t) = \iint \frac{d\mu_t(x) \, d\mu_t(y)}{|x-y|^{s-1}} \dots$$

...and prove the inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} I_{s-1}(\mu_t) \, dt \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\widehat{\mu}(\xi)|^2 |\xi_2|^{s-2} \, d\xi \dots$$

- ...which follows from Plancherel if  $\mu$  is a smooth function; the general case involves a tedious approximation.
- In fact, this inequality was proved by Orponen (2012).

• Consider the (s-1)-energies

$$I_{s-1}(\mu_t) = \iint \frac{d\mu_t(x) \, d\mu_t(y)}{|x-y|^{s-1}} \dots$$

...and prove the inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} I_{s-1}(\mu_t) \, dt \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\widehat{\mu}(\xi)|^2 |\xi_2|^{s-2} \, d\xi \dots$$

- ...which follows from Plancherel if μ is a smooth function; the general case involves a tedious approximation.
- In fact, this inequality was proved by Orponen (2012).
- Plug in the estimate  $|\hat{\mu}(\xi)|^2 \lesssim |\xi|^{-(s+\varepsilon)}$  and check that the integral on the R.H.S is finite.

• Consider the (s-1)-energies

$$I_{s-1}(\mu_t) = \iint \frac{d\mu_t(x) \, d\mu_t(y)}{|x-y|^{s-1}} \dots$$

• ...and prove the inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} I_{s-1}(\mu_t) \, dt \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\widehat{\mu}(\xi)|^2 |\xi_2|^{s-2} \, d\xi \dots$$

- ...which follows from Plancherel if  $\mu$  is a smooth function; the general case involves a tedious approximation.
- In fact, this inequality was proved by Orponen (2012).
- Plug in the estimate  $|\hat{\mu}(\xi)|^2 \lesssim |\xi|^{-(s+\varepsilon)}$  and check that the integral on the R.H.S is finite.

$$\implies I_{s-1}(\mu_t) < \infty$$
 for Leb a.e.  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

• Consider the (s-1)-energies

$$I_{s-1}(\mu_t) = \iint \frac{d\mu_t(x) \, d\mu_t(y)}{|x-y|^{s-1}} \dots$$

• ...and prove the inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} I_{s-1}(\mu_t) \, dt \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\widehat{\mu}(\xi)|^2 |\xi_2|^{s-2} \, d\xi \dots$$

- ...which follows from Plancherel if  $\mu$  is a smooth function; the general case involves a tedious approximation.
- In fact, this inequality was proved by Orponen (2012).
- Plug in the estimate  $|\hat{\mu}(\xi)|^2 \lesssim |\xi|^{-(s+\varepsilon)}$  and check that the integral on the R.H.S is finite.

$$\implies I_{s-1}(\mu_t) < \infty \quad \text{for Leb a.e. } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$
$$\Rightarrow \dim_{\mathrm{H}}[K \cap L_t] \ge s - 1 > 0 \quad \text{for Leb pos. many } t.$$
Q.E.D.

### Open questions

• What is the a.s. Fourier dimension of the Brownian graphs  $G_{\omega}$ ? We only proved that  $\dim_{\mathbf{F}} G_{\omega} \leq 1$ .

- What is the a.s. Fourier dimension of the Brownian graphs  $G_{\omega}$ ? We only proved that  $\dim_{\mathbf{F}} G_{\omega} \leq 1$ .
- Is Fourier dimension countably (or even finitely) stable? I.e.

$$\dim_{\mathbf{F}}\left(\bigcup_{i\in\mathbb{N}}A_{i}\right)=\sup_{i}\dim_{\mathbf{F}}A_{i}?$$

Not being able to solve the first open question, we considered the following variant:

#### Question

What is the Fourier dimension of the graph of a typical function  $f \in C[0,1]$ ?

• Here we mean *typical* in the sense of Baire category.

### Further results II

We proved:

#### Theorem (J. F., T. Orponen and T. Sahlsten. 2013)

The typical function  $f \in C[0,1]$  has the following property. If  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(G_f)$ , then

$$\limsup_{|\xi| \to \infty} |\widehat{\mu}(\xi)| \ge \frac{1}{5}.$$

In particular, dim<sub>F</sub>  $G_f = 0$ .

- The constant 1/5 is not sharp (optimal constant unknown).
- Hausdorff dimension  $\dim_{\mathrm{H}} G_f \geq 1$  for any  $f \in C[0, 1]$ , so our result implies that the graph of a typical function is *not* round/Salem!

### References

J. M. Fraser, T. Orponen, T. Sahlsten: On Fourier analytic properties of graphs, to appear in *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (2013), (arXiv:1211.4803v2).

W. Fouch, S. Mukeru: On the Fourier structure of the zero set of fractional Brownian motion, *Statistics & Probability Letters* 83:2 (2013), 459–466.

J.-P. Kahane: Fractals and random measures, *Bull. Sci. Math.*, 117 (1993), 153–159.

**T. Orponen**: Slicing sets and measures, and the dimension of exceptional parameters, *J. Geom. Anal.*, **24**, (2014), 47–80.

N.-R. Shieh, Y. Xiao: Images of Gaussian random fields: Salem sets and interior points, *Studia Math.*, **176**, (2006), 37–60.